

Oak Grove Church of Christ Newsletter - 2026.05.03

Website: oakgrovecofc.org

Facebook: facebook.com/oakgrovecofc


Sermon recordings

Scan the QR code below with your phone's cam, or visit oakgrovecofc.org/sermons.

Speaker-AM: Steve Helterbrand

Title: God's Most Despised
Commandments - Baptism


Recording:



Speaker-PM: Patrick Hite

Title: Is Jesus God?

Recording:



Article

How Many Containers in the Lord's Supper Cup? 5/2/2026 by Terry Wane Benton

Some divisions have plagued churches over the meaning and use of the word "cup" when Jesus spoke of taking "this cup" as He instituted the Lord's Supper from the old Passover meal. Was Jesus telling His disciples to use only one container among them, and that even when they suddenly grew into 3000 and more disciples in Jerusalem on Pentecost, they were to all make sure that they all shared drinking the fruit of the vine from a single container? Was Jesus talking about the container or the content of the fruit of the vine?

One Content or One Container?

First, Paul mentioned "this cup" and "drink it" (I Corinthians 11:25). Was he saying to drink the content or drink the container? We never drink a container. I don't believe it can be done. When we drink "it", we are drinking the fruit of the vine. That fruit of the vine may be divided into several containers, but it is still one cup.

Secondly, Paul said, "Drink this cup" (I Corinthians 11:26). He wrote this in Ephesus to the Corinthian church. Were they to get the container Paul was using in Ephesus and bring it to Corinth so they could drink from it? He said drink this cup, not that cup you have in Corinth. Obviously, the church at Ephesus and the church at Corinth were drinking from the SAME cup (the fruit of the vine), but not from the same container.

Third, Matthew records that Jesus "took the cup...and gave it to them" (Matthew 26:27). Was the cup a reference to the container or the content (fruit of the vine)? From Luke's account, "He took the cup....and said, 'Take this, and divide it among yourselves'" (Luke 22:17). Were they to divide a container? Break a container into pieces and give each disciple a piece? No! They had their own containers from the Passover feast, and Jesus told them to divide the fruit of the vine among themselves by pouring it into their various containers. So, "cup" is used by metonymy to mean the content, namely, the fruit of the vine.

Insisting on a single container is not what Jesus was doing. Insisting on the common drink of the fruit of the vine, as the cup is clearly what Jesus was calling for, is what Jesus was calling for, and that is how Paul could be drinking the same cup in Ephesus as the Corinthians were drinking in Corinth.

Several Cups versus One Cup

When we consider what several cups would look like in the context of the Lord's Supper, it would look more like this. Several different cups would be: water, cola, milk, coffee, tea, grape juice, apple juice, tomato juice, etc. That would be several different cups. If we divide these among ourselves, we do not partake of the same cup. However, in the context of the Lord's Supper, we do use one cup, namely, only the fruit of the vine. We can divide this among ourselves, and it is always one cup. We can have brethren in Ephesus and Corinth and even across centuries to different locations, still dividing among all that one cup, and it remains one cup, no matter how many containers are used to divide it out. It is a poorly thought-out argument to insist that Jesus made the container a third essential element of the Lord's Supper. The container is only an expediency in holding the fruit of the vine. There is no symbolism in the container, and there is no evidence that the disciples divided the container among themselves. They had their own individual containers and divided out the contents of the fruit of the vine into their individual containers.

If "the cup" means the container:

- We must divide that one container among ourselves. Our one-cup brethren never do that.
- We must insist that people take a chunk of wood out of "the Table" in order to "partake of the Lord's table" (I Corinthians 10:21).

If not, why not? Why would "the cup" be literal, but partaking of the table would not be literal? In all my years of dealing with this issue, I have never gotten a straight answer from the brethren who insist on using one container in the Lord's Supper. The fruit of the vine is the one cup that is shared by brethren all over the world, and there was never any significance attached to the containers used by the various disciples. We do not allow someone to insist on one container, just one common content, the fruit of the vine.

Do not be deceived!